GIMIC:
Galaxy-Intergalactic Medium Interaction Calculation

Tom Theuns
Institute for Computational Cosmology
Ogden Centre for Fundamental Physics
Durham University, UK
and
University of Antwerp
Belgium

R Crain, C Frenk, A Jenkins, V Eke (Durham)
J Schaye C Booth, C Dalla Vecchia, R Wiersma (Leiden)
V Springel S White (MPA)
L Tornatore (Trieste)

GIMIC/OWLS code: see Joop's talk

Leiden:
Claudio Dalla Vecchia
Joop Schaye

Crain, Robert

Trieste:
Luca Tornatore

Aims:
- simulate IGM and galaxies together
- investigate numerical/physical uncertainties

MPA:
Volker Springel

- Gadget 3
- Star formation guarantees Schmidt law
- Stellar evolution
- Galactic winds
- Metal-dependent cooling

SFR follow Schmidt-law



Code in brief

Galactic winds



Z+J(nu) dependent cooling



Stellar evolution



"Gastrophysics": stars do not simply trace dark matter



Durham University

Semi-analytic modelling



J Helly

Tom Theuns

ICC Institute for Computational Cosmology

Durham University

Suite of simulations: GIMIC/OWLS

Galaxy-Intergalactic Medium Interaction Calculation



Zoomed simulations of 5 spheres picked from the Millennium Simulation

Combine LSS with high numerical resolution

Tom Theuns

ICC Institute for Computational Cosmology

Motivation for GIMIC:

- include (very) large-scale structure
- good numerical resolution yet able to reach redshift z=0
- formation of unusual objects (massive cluster, deep void)



Millennium volume:

- box is 500 Mpc/h on a side
- cosmology $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_b, h, \sigma_8, n) = (0.25, 0.75, 0.045, 0.73, 0.9, 1)$
- Springel et al '05

5 regions:

- (-2,-1,0,1,2) sigma
- radius 18 Mpc/h (at z=1.5)
- $m_{\rm gas} = 1.45 \times 10^6\, h^{-1}\, M_\odot$

ICs: A Jenkins

GIMIC

Distributed European Infrastructure for Supercomputing Applications

Galaxies-Intergalactic Medium Interaction Calculation



Objectives:

- Galaxy properties and environment
- IGM properties and environment
- Interaction galaxies/IGM
- Complementary to OWLS simulations

all using same set of numerical parameters



Tom Theuns

Density evolution of 5 GIMIC spheres



Dark-matter halo (FOF) mass functions



Visual difference between regions (z=0)



Star formation rate density (Madau/Lilly)

Starformation rate density



Redshift

Dwarf galaxy with GIMIC/OWLS code

log (Gas density) in [Msun/h / (Mpc/h) ^ 3]

Density



z = 29.888

L = 0.999 Mpc/h

Dwarf galaxy with GIMIC/OWLS code

log (Z)

Metals



z = 29.888

L = 0.999 Mpc/h

Institute for Computational Cosmology

Massloss of galaxies due to a UV-background

Takashi Okamoto[1⋆], Liang Gao[1,2] and Tom Theuns[1,3]
[1]*Institute for Computational Cosmology, Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE*
[2]*National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, 100012, China*
[3]*Department of Physics, University of Antwerp, Campus Groenenborger, Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium*



Baryon fraction

all haloes

"Isolated" haloes

Halo mass

crosses: individual haloes

Characteristic temperature/circular velocity below which haloes lose most of their baryons

Massloss model



Virial temperature



Virial velocity

Redshift

Characteristic mass is much smaller than Gnedin's filtering mass



How well does SPH do the hydro?

On the Origin of Cores in Simulated Galaxy Clusters

N. L. Mitchell[1⋆], I. G. McCarthy[1], R. G. Bower[1], T. Theuns[1,2], R. A. Crain[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE*
[2] *Department of Physics, University of Antwerp, Campus Groenenborger, Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium*



Comparison Gadget (SPH) vs FLASH (AMR) for collisions of isolated clusters (dm +gas, non-radiative)

A test suite for quantitative comparison of hydrodynamics codes in astrophysics

Elizabeth J. Tasker[1⋆], Riccardo Brunino[2], Nigel L. Mitchell[3], Dolf Michielsen[2], Stephen Hopton[2], Frazer R. Pearce[2], Greg L. Bryan[4], Tom Theuns[3]

Translating King profiles

ENZO Flash SPH



ICC Institute for Compute

A new Poisson-solver for Flash

$$\phi^{n+1}(x) = \frac{\phi^n(x+\Delta) + \phi^n(x-\Delta)}{2} - \frac{2\rho(x)}{\Delta^2}$$





Updating of boundary points requires communication every sweep

$$\phi^{n+1}(x) = \frac{\phi^n(x+\Delta) + \phi^n(x-\Delta)}{2} - \frac{2\rho(x)}{\Delta^2}$$



$$\phi^{n+1}(x) = \frac{\phi^n(x+\Delta) + \phi^n(x-\Delta)}{2} - \frac{2\rho(x)}{\Delta^2}$$



$$\phi^{n+1}(x) = \frac{\phi^n(x+\Delta) + \phi^n(x-\Delta)}{2} - \frac{2\rho(x)}{\Delta^2}$$

Stopping criterion?



Partitioning of the 2D Poisson Equation



Boundary conditions need to be exchanged between processors every step

Issues:

- Updating of BCs expensive — Dominates time
- Parallelization — Few blocks at coarse level

FFTs?

New Implementation:

- use FFTs on mesh
- use GS on further refinements

✓*Works well*

✓*Mapping of particles to mesh takes >80 % of CPU time*

FFT-plane



AMR-blocks

- Compute density on AMR block
- Set-up FFT planes (FFTW, pFFT) Pick FFT level
- Copy AMR density to FFT density
- Perform FFT
- Multiply with Green's function
- Perform inverse FFT
- Copy potential to AMR potential May need to restrict.
- Prolong potential to finer AMR blocks
- Iterate fine potential

Stopping criterion?

Wish list / issues

- Massive particles in small cells?
- PP-step for particles
- Isolated BCs
- Isolated FFTs (Refinements)

Multiple timesteps?



How well does SPH do the hydro?

On the Origin of Cores in Simulated Galaxy Clusters

N. L. Mitchell[1⋆], I. G. McCarthy[1], R. G. Bower[1], T. Theuns[1,2], R. A. Crain[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE*
[2] *Department of Physics, University of Antwerp, Campus Groenenborger, Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium*



Comparison Gadget (SPH) vs FLASH (AMR) for collisions of isolated clusters (dm +gas, non-radiative)

A test suite for quantitative comparison of hydrodynamics codes in astrophysics

Elizabeth J. Tasker[1⋆], Riccardo Brunino[2], Nigel L. Mitchell[3], Dolf Michielsen[2], Stephen Hopton[2], Frazer R. Pearce[2], Greg L. Bryan[4], Tom Theuns[3]

Translating King profiles

Tom Theuns

ENZO Flash SPH



The Santa Barbara Cluster Comparison Project: A Comparison of Cosmological Hydrodynamics Solutions



Frenk et al '99

Cluster entropy profiles: SPH vs AMR



Voit, Bryan & Kay '05

Cluster entropy profiles: *NOT* due to cosmology

Cosmological clusters



Voit, Bryan & Kay '05

Binary collisions



Mitchell, McCarthy, Bower & TT

Generation of entropy (FLASH)



SPH AMR



Star formation rate density (Madau/Lilly)

Star formation rate density



Redshift

Specific star formation rate

Star formation rate per unit mass



Redshift

Star formation as function of halo mass then



Star formation rate density

Redshift

Star formation as function of halo-mass now

Star formation rate density



Redshift

Specific star formation rate per halo



$\dot{M}_\star / M_{200}$

sSFR independent of environment

Circular velocity

sSFR as function of redshift

$\dot{M}_\star / M_{200}$



Redshift

Stellar multiplicity function

z=6 z=1 z=0

-2σ

-1σ

0σ

$+1\sigma$

$+2\sigma$



sSFR as function of redshift compared to SDSS



Redshift

sSFR GIMIC vs Galform



$\dot{M}_\star / M_{200}$

DM halo mass

Star formation at high z

Reionization as function of environment

Stellar mass function z=6



Solar abundance

$M_\star = 10^{11}\, M_\odot$ solar-abundance galaxy

Reionization as function of environment

$$\int \dot{N}_\gamma \, dt / N_H$$



Temperature/Density/Metallicity at z=0



$$\log_{10} n_H [cm^{-3}]$$

Cycling of gas through whim phase



$\log_{10} T[K]$

$\log_{10} n_H[cm^{-3}]$

LSS in the IGM

z=0



0σ

z=3



0σ

z=3



$+2\sigma$


Δx (kpc)
Δy (kpc)
+60
−60
km s⁻¹
+120
+30
Intensity
[OIII]
Hβ
velocity (km/s)
σ (km/s)


Arcseconds
Arcseconds





AMS 1

[OIII] velocity



$M_*=10^8\ M_0$, SFR=5 M_0/yr, z=2.75





Main conclusion:

- SFR depends strongly on environment
- sSFR as function of halo mass, does not
- dependence driven by DM-mass function



Simulating galaxies and the intergalactic medium

Cosmic star formation history



Schaye
Dalla Vecchia
Springel
TT

Durham University

Suite of simulations: GIMIC/OWLS

Galaxy-Intergalactic Medium Interaction Calculation



Zoomed simulations of 5 spheres picked from the Millennium Simulation

Combine LSS with high numerical resolution

Tom Theuns

ICC Institute for Computational Cosmology

Durham University



Tom Theuns

Galactic winds enrich surroundings with metals



Durham University

Metal enrichement



Tom Theuns

Effect of dark matter type

7 keV

1 keV



temperature





metallicity



Redshift = 4

Observed star formation:

Schmidt law

$$\Sigma_{\rm SFR} \propto \Sigma_{\rm gas}^{n} \quad (n = 1.4 \pm 0.15)$$



(Kennicutt 1989)

Simulated star formation:



this is not a fit

- $N_{\rm part} = 100$

Simulated star formation:

"resolution independent"



- $N_{\rm part} = 100$
- $N_{\rm part} = 12$



Dwarf galaxy with GIMIC/OWLS code

log (Gas density) in [Msun/h / (Mpc/h) ^ 3]





z = 29.888

L = 0.999 Mpc/h

Dwarf galaxy with GIMIC/OWLS code

log (Z)



z = 29.888

L = 0.999 Mpc/h

Suite of simulations varying:

- Star formation parameters
- Wind implementation
- Resolution
- Box size
- Cosmology
- Reionization history

Density

Temperature

Metallicity